The Road to Pattern Matching in Python



Pattern matching is simple...





Pattern Matching So, what is it really?



Pattern matching...

- ... checks the structure/shape/type of the data
- ... selects code to handle a specific object
- ... extracts relevant pieces of information

circle(x, y, radius) $A = \pi \times r^2$

height

radius

rectangle(x, y, width, height) $A = w \times h$



def area(shape): if isinstance(shape, circle): radius = shape.radius return math.pi * radius ** 2

elif isinstance(shape, rectangle):
 wd, ht = shape.width, shape.height
 return wd * ht



def area(shape): if isinstance(shape, circle): radius = shape.radius return math.pi * radius ** 2 elif isinstance(shape, rectangle): wd, ht = shape.width, shape.height return wd * ht

$$a = area(Circle(40, 50, 100))$$



Pattern Matching

 Run specialised code based on type and structure of your object;
 Automatically extract relevant data/attributes from an object A Closer Look at the Fabric How do we make things fit?

Premise data is organised in graphs and trees (using objects)



Example the expression 2 * 34 + 1 has a tree-structure:



BinOp(op='+', left=BinOp('*', 2, 34), right=1)

Patterns naturally exhibit the same tree-structure as objects, e.g.:

match s:
 case BinOp(BinOp(2, '*', n), '+', 1):
 # odd number

Matching objects to patterns

- attributes are inherently unordered
- how do we map attributes to positions?
- ▶ BinOp(x, '+', 1) vs BinOp('+', x, 1)

Matching objects to patterns

- attributes are inherently unordered
- how do we map attributes to positions?
- ▶ BinOp(x, '+', 1) vs BinOp('+', x, 1)
- wse __match_args__ = ('left', 'op', 'right')





...

Pattern Matching: Solving The Equation

Can we find values for variables in the pattern such that the pattern and the subject coincide?

Tales From the Past The Origins of Pattern Matching







In the beginning was... *tuple unpacking* Minimalistic design: a language without field or item access With strong static types, consider tup = (123, 'abc')

- tup[0] has type int
- tup[1] has type str
- what type has tup[i]?

Question: how do we handle dynamic data structures?

Simply put, each 'object' is either a tuple or None, e.g. linked list:

primes = (2, (3, (5, (7, None))))

 $2 \longrightarrow 3 \longrightarrow 5 \longrightarrow 7 \longrightarrow None$

(x, rest) = mylist

Answer: alternatives / conditional unpacking

def	sum(mylist):
	result = 0
	while True:
	match mylist:
	case (n, rest):
	result += n
	mylist = rest
	case None:
	return result

Answer: alternatives / conditional unpacking

```
def sum(mylist):
   match mylist:
        case (m, (n, None)):
            return m + n
        case (n, rest):
            return n + sum(rest)
        case None:
            return 0
```

Pattern matching

Extend tuple unpacking to handle dynamic data structures



Changing the Present The Challenge of Embracing a New Paradigm

Pattern matching in Python must be:

isolated

do not affect anything outside the match statement

▶ familiar

use established syntax and conventions wherever possible

 compatible work well with existing code

Some immediate consequences

- Introduce a new keyword (match)
- match and case are soft keywords (context-sensitive)
- ▶ Patterns [a, b, c] and (a, b, c) are equivalent
- match must be a statement, not an expression

Conditional vs unconditional unpacking

```
match some iterator:
    case (a, b, c, 0):
    case (a, b, c, *rest):
    case x:
        # do not consume elements from the
        # iterator in this case
```

Annotations / type hints

Could we use type hints to specify the type/class of variables?

```
match some_expr:
    case (i: int):
        ...
    case [s: str, t: str]:
```

Annotations / type hints

Could we use type hints to specify the type/class of variables?

```
match some_expr:
    case (i: int):
        ...
    case [s: str, t: str]:
```

No – annotations are never enforced by the interpreter



Annotations / type hints

Could we use type hints to specify the type/class of variables?

```
match some_expr:
    case int(i):
```

. . .

```
case [str(s), str(t)]:
```

No – annotations are never enforced by the interpreter

Pattern matching...

- ► is an *isolated* feature
- strives to reuse existing Python syntax
- ► still is new and different!



from math import pi
match x:
 case pi:

How shall we interpret 'case pi'?

- match only if $x = \pi$
- match anything and set pi := x

- Languages with declarations (var x = ...) can differentiate
- Others distinguish based on spelling: pi vs Pi
- Only bind local names: pi vs math.pi
- Make all names binding targets (i.e. always overwrite pi)

- Languages with declarations (var x = ...) can differentiate
- Others distinguish based on spelling: pi vs Pi
- Make all names binding targets (i.e. always overwrite pi)



```
match mytuple:
    case (x, x):
        ...
    case 2 | n:
        ...
```

How shall we interpret 'case (x, x)'?

- Tuple with two equal elements?
- Bind x to the second element?

```
match mytuple:
    case (x, x):
        ...
    case 2 | n:
```

How shall we interpret 'case 2 | n'?► Only bind *n* if it is not 2?

```
match mytuple:
    case (x, x):
        ...
    case 2 | n:
```

- Don't allow either of these variants!
 - Bind all occurring names to values
 - Each name is bound exactly once

- Simple names are binding targets
- Attributes provide value constraints
- The set of binding targets is deterministic

A Vision of the Future Bespoke Patterns

Objects are complex

- An object can have more than one 'shape'
- There is more than one way to look at/view an object

Objects are complex—example



case crect(x, y):

case cpolar(r, angle):

 $4 + 3j = 5 \measuredangle 38.9^{\circ}$

Objects are complex—example



case crect(x, y):
 ...
case cpolar(r, angle):

crect and cpolar are not classes, but views of an object

Objects are complex

class crect: **def** ___match___(s): if isinstance(s, complex): return Yes(s) elif isinstance(s, vector2D): return Yes(complex(s[0], s[1])) else: return No

Pattern Matching Taylor Your Code to Your Data

The Road to Pattern Matching in Python

Special thanks to Brandt Bucher, Ivan Levkivskyi, Daniel Moisset, Guido van Rossum, Talin